PATENT

First Named Inventor: David Kavs

Attorney Docket No.: 303815.01

Application No.: Filed:

10/612.759 July 1, 2003 Group Art Unit: 2178 Thu V. Huvnh

Examiner:

Confirmation Number: 5909

Customer No.: 22971

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR REPORTING HIERARCHICALLY ARRANGED DATA IN MARKUP LANGUAGE

FORMATS

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Rox 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the above identified application with

appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the

record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly

or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the

effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in

any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates

the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of

the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the

previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner

regarding what the cited reference(s) do or do not teach.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the

Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Page 1 of 2

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance Appl. No.: 10/612,759

Docket No.: 303815.01

PATENT

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are

included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to

file.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 28, 2007

By: /James Haugen, Reg. # 60056/

James Haugen Reg. No.: 60,056

Direct telephone (425) 703-6636

Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way

Redmond WA 98052-6399

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

September 28, 2007	/Kate Marochkina/
Date	Signature
	Kate Marochkina
	Printed Name

Docket No.: 303815.01